



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

TLG
Docket No: 6504-14
6 May 2015

[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552. The application was filed in a timely manner.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your application on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 24 April 2015. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Marine Corps and began a period of active duty on 27 January 1983. You served for about five months without disciplinary incident, but during the period from 21 June 1983 to 30 July 1984, you received four nonjudicial punishments (NJP). Your offenses were wrongful appropriation of \$500.00 and five specifications of failure to go to your appointed place of duty.

Subsequently, you were notified of pending administrative separation action by reason of misconduct due to minor disciplinary infractions. After waiving your procedural rights, your commanding officer recommended discharge under other than honorable conditions by reason of misconduct due to a pattern of minor disciplinary infractions. The discharge authority approved this recommendation and directed separation under other than honorable conditions by reason of misconduct and on 1 October 1984, you were so discharged. At that time you were assigned an RE-4 reenlistment code.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your post service conduct and desire to upgrade your discharge. Further, the Board recognized your medical board results, but found that your medical condition was not connected to nor outweighed your misconduct. Nevertheless, the Board found that these factors were not sufficient to warrant relief in your case because of the seriousness of your misconduct. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence within one year from the date of the Board's decision. New evidence is evidence not previously considered by the Board prior to making its decision in your case. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Robert J. O'Neill".

ROBERT J. O'NEILL
Executive Director